PAGES 1 - 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable James Donato, Judge

IN RE: CAPACITORS ANTITRUST LITIGATION,))

NO. C 14-03264 JD

San Francisco, California thursday, April 6, 2017

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs: Direct Purchasers, Chip-Tech, Ltd., and Dependable Component Supply Corp:

JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM

505 Montgomery Street, Suite 625 San Francisco, California 94111

BY: JOSEPH SAVERI

JAMES G.B. DALLAL

ANDREW PURDY

NICOMEDES HERRERA ATTORNEYS AT LAW

For Plaintiffs Indirect Purchasers, Toy-Knowlogy, Inc., and CAE Sound:

COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY LLP

San Francisco Airport Office Center

840 Malcolm Road

Burlingame, California 94010

BY: MARK RAM

ATTORNEY AT LAW

Reported By: Rhonda L. Aquilina, CSR #9956, RMR, CRR

Official Court Reporter

APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)

For Defendants NEC Tokin Corp. and NEC Tokin America:

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street San Francisco, California 94105

BY: GEORGE A. NICOUD III
ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Defendant Nitsuko Elec.

Latham & Watkins 505 Montgomery Street - Suite 1900 San Francisco, California 94111

By: ASHLEY BAUER
ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Defendant AVX Corp.:

MINTZ, LEVIN
44 Montgomery Street, 36th Flr.
San Francisco, CA 94104

BY: EVAN NADEL
ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Defendant Rohm Co., Ltd., and Rohm Semiconductor USA LLC:
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
Two Embarcadero Center - 28th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111

BY: MEGAN HAVSTAD
ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Defendant Okaya Electric America, Inc, and Okaya Electric Industries Co., Ltd.:

BAKER & MCKENZIE Two Embarcadero Center, 11th Flr San Francisco, CA 94111

BY: DARRELL PRESCOTT
ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Defendant Fujitsu:

MORRISON & FOERSTER 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105

BY: JEFFREY A. JAECKEL ATTORNEY AT LAW

Friday - April 15, 2015

10:00 a.m.

2

1

PROCEEDINGS

3

---000---

4

5

THE CLERK: Calling Civil 14-3264, In Re Capacitors
Antitrust Litigation.

6

Counsel, please state your appearances for the record.

7

MR. SAVERI: Good morning, Your Honor. Joseph Saveri

8

on behalf of the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs.

9

THE COURT: Okay. So let me make sure I understand

10

some basics, and then I'll let you know what we're going to do.

11

So the number of potential claimants in the Class or number of Class Members is about 1,900; is that right?

12

13

MR. SAVERI: Correct, Your Honor.

14

THE COURT: And 719 claim forms were submitted, but in

MR. SAVERI: As of right now there is -- some of those

15

your view the substantive solved ones are about 282; right?

16

claimants came basically without the pre-populated forms, so

17 18

they kind of came through the Internet. They filled out claim

19

forms. So there is an audit process that we have to conduct to

20

THE COURT: All right.

make sure -- to figure out what we're doing.

2122

MR. SAVERI: With the ones -- with the claims that

23

came back on the pre-populated forms, obviously because they

24

came from the transactional data, we have a pretty high degree $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

25

of confidence that they are legitimate claims. So that seems

```
like a pretty firm number. But for everything else that kind
 1
     of came in without a pre-populated form, we have to look at
 2
            And we think there are some duplicates in there.
     those.
 3
     think there are some people who are perhaps Indirect
 4
 5
     Purchasers, or there may be, frankly, fraudulent claims.
                                                               We
 6
     haven't finished that process yet.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. But just taking the 282 claim form
    number, that is 66 percent of the relevant sales stream; right?
 8
              MR. SAVERI: Correct, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. And then about 19 percent of
10
11
     that sales stream is -- has been carved out by opt-outs; is
     that right?
12
13
              MR. SAVERI: With one exception. Since the last time
     I was here, one of the Class Members -- one of the large Class
14
15
    Members has wrote to us and asked to rescind.
16
              THE COURT:
                         Oh, yes, I saw that.
              MR. SAVERI: And that's Arrow, and they want to
17
     rescind only with respect to one of the settling defendants,
18
19
    NEC Tokin.
              THE COURT: Why are they doing that?
20
              MR. SAVERI: I'm not going to presume to speak for
21
     them.
            I mean, Mr. Nicoud is here who represents NEC --
22
23
                          I'm asking you to speculate.
              THE COURT:
              MR. SAVERI: I'll speculate.
24
                          I'll ask counsel, why aren't they joining
25
              THE COURT:
```

the party? Why are all these people saying no?

MR. SAVERI: Well, you know why I think they rescinded is because we really -- we put together a heck of a deal, and they realized that it's in their economic interest to become part of the class device. Now, they may have had other considerations or thoughts --

THE COURT: No, no, I'm sorry. Why are people opting out? I mean, it looks like about 20 percent of the covered sales are in the hands of people who have said we don't want to participate. And you just said this one company is now saying, okay, we'll do it, but only for one. Why aren't people just taking this deal?

MR. SAVERI: I can offer some educated hypotheses.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SAVERI: One, that they have business relationships with the defendants, and for one reason or another they would prefer to address these claims in the context of a business relationship.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SAVERI: And you can probably imagine, you know, changes in business terms, favorable treatment. I think, frankly, we produced that benefit, but nonetheless they would want to do it kind of out of the eye of the Court.

THE COURT: I was asking mainly for selfish reasons, because I just don't want to see a flood of opt-outs.

MR. SAVERI: Well, and, Your Honor --

THE COURT: And I'm wondering if you're getting a sense that that's going to happen.

MR. SAVERI: No, I don't, Your Honor, because for two reasons. One, I think that the -- I think we've done a really good job with these settlements, and there's significant value in these claims. And so I think that most educated and sophisticated Class Members, because these are big businesses, are looking at that, and making the decision that you said, which is let's -- we should just cash the check. It's easy, and we've done a really good job reaching out to people with these pre-populated claim forms, so they're kind of voting with their feet.

I think there is -- in these cases there is a cottage industry of firms who reach out to potential Class Members and say some version of, look, whatever you're going to get in the Class case, we'll separately negotiate and we'll do better, okay. Some class members are impressed by that approach and make that decision.

THE COURT: Well, they understand if they miss the date they're out. They can't come back later and say that didn't work out.

MR. SAVERI: They take that risk.

And, you know, we -- in this case, we've worked really hard to reach out to people, to Class Members, to get them to

participate and, every Class Member that we received an opt-out from, we circled back with them and said some version of "Are you sure?"

THE COURT: You talked to them?

MR. SAVERI: We picked up the telephone and called them; and some of them were represented by outside counsel, and we talked to them. All we can do is say we think this is a good deal, we think you should stay in the class. But ultimately they can make their own decision.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that gives me some comfort.

Okay. Well, I think things are improved since our last visit, so you can consider Final Approval is granted, including the attorneys' fees and requests. It's going to take me a little bit of time to get the Order out. So treat it as granted, if you can do that. Defendants will treat it as granted, so don't stand on waiting for a written order. It's granted as of today. It's just going to take me a little time to get it out, okay.

MR. SAVERI: Thank you. One thing you will get, though, is we submitted a Final Judgment that had the opt-outs before we knew Arrow was going to rescind their opt-out. We have a Revised Form of Judgment, which we have gone over with --

THE COURT: Just file a new one saying Amended Final Judgment --

```
1
              MR. SAVERI: Okay.
              THE COURT: -- or whatever you want to do with that.
 2
          Now, do you all want to come back for a status conference?
 3
              MR. SAVERI: Yes, Your Honor. We sent a letter to the
 4
 5
     Court yesterday. We've met with the Indirect Purchasers,
 6
     Flextronic, and the non-settling defendants, and we're
     available the 27th.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. That sounds fine.
                                                         I don't
 8
    necessarily need a status conference statement. If you want to
 9
     do one, that's fine. I do want at least an agenda, though,
10
11
     okay, by the 20th, one week ahead of time. So just say here
     are the topics for discussion, so I know what's coming.
12
13
              MR. SAVERI: Yeah. I think they all think they're
     going to be out of the case by then.
14
15
              THE COURT: Oh, all right.
16
              MR. SAVERI: So that's just --
17
              THE COURT: Is that right?
              MR. SAVERI: Those are the settling defendants, so I
18
     think that's why they're here, so they don't have to show up
19
20
     for that.
              THE COURT: Well, tell your friends.
21
22
                                (Laughter)
23
              MR. NICOUD: We will, Your Honor. Trey Nicoud for the
    NEC Tokin defendants.
24
25
          We are still in the Indirect Purchaser case, so we'll
```

probably see you later this month, but we are hoping to be out of this.

MR. SAVERI: I would anticipate that we'll provide an agenda. I think we do think that there is --

THE COURT: A joint agenda.

MR. SAVERI: Yeah, a joint agenda. And I do think we'll attempt to do a Joint Status Conference.

THE COURT: That's fine. I mean, at this point you can hit the highlights. We don't have to go through the, you know, 18,000 questions in the standard form, so if you just want to say -- you know what would actually be good is do an agenda, get everything people want to talk about, and then just have the conference statement be a narrative that goes with that: Here's why this is on the agenda, and this is the issue, okay.

MR. SAVERI: Yes.

THE COURT: And I want to avoid -- because I customarily say "Is there anything else," I want to avoid five things that come up that the defense lawyers say they haven't heard about yet, and you say you're just trying to be proactive, and then I don't know what's happening. That's what -- the purpose of the agenda is to get you all on the same page, and that will be all that we discuss, okay? And when I say "Is there anything else I can help you with," it would be in reference to those agenda items only, not new items coming

```
1
     up on the fly.
                     So --
 2
              MR. SAVERI: And, Your Honor --
              THE COURT: -- so it's a week ahead of time, so
 3
     nothing will likely happen in that week that will disrupt
 4
 5
     things.
              MR. SAVERI: That's fine, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT:
                         Anything else for today?
              MR. SAVERI: I think that's everything that's on my
 8
     list.
 9
10
              THE COURT: All right. So get that filed by
11
     April 20th.
              ALL COUNSEL: Thank you.
12
13
                  (Proceedings adjourned at 11:03 a.m.)
14
                                ---000---
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Friday, April 7, 2017 DATE: Rhonda L. Aquilina, CSR No. 9956, RMR, CRR Court Reporter